

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF WATER

COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS ANNUAL REPORT

PART I. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS: The Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO) Annual Report is consistent with the EPA CSO Long-Term Control Policy requiring permitting authorities to report "Measures of Success" of the policy implementation. Hence, the goal of this report is to obtain information regarding:

- 1. Compliance with the 15 CSO Best Management Practices;
- 2. The condition and operation of the combine sewer system (CSS) components. Most importantly, the end-of-pipe measures that show trends in the discharge of CSS flows to the receiving water body, such as reduction of pollutant loadings, the frequency of CSOs, and the duration of CSOs;
- 3. Receiving water body measures that show trends of the conditions in the water body to which the CSO occurs;
- 4. Overall status of the CSO LTCP, if applicable;
- 5. Key CSO control accomplishments and design and construction progress in the previous year

Permittee must complete ALL parts of the form and must attach all supporting documents. Please be aware that this annual report form template highlights the minimum requirement a permittee is expected to submit. Permittee is obligated to complete abatement activities to ensure compliance with the Clean Water Act. This report is also consistent with NYS 6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i).

Special Instructions:

- 1. Multiple permittees (for instance NYC and Albany Pool) responsible to develop a single LTCP can submit one form and also complete Section D of this form.
- 2. ALL SECTIONS OF THIS REPORT MUST BE COMPLETED.

PERMITTEE NAME: City of C	Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT No.:	NY-0029831	P A G E 1				
	Part II - CSO LTCP Cont	rol Information						
CSO Facility: City of Ogde	CSO Facility: City of Ogdensburg Flow: 6.50 MG							
SECTION A: CSO LTCP GEN	NERAL INFORMATION							
LTCP Development/Implen								
Check all that apply:	Describe other controls currently being us Control Policy have been met.	sed or planned. Also describe	how the objectives	of the CSO				
In Development 🗸	The Paterson Street sewer separation							
Submitted 🗸	completed in 2016. This work aligns very the construction of a 800,000 gallon C							
Approved 🗸	LTCP.	•						
In Progress								
Completed								
Not Required								
CSO Controls: Check all that apply: Source Controls Collection System Controls Storage Technologies Treatment Technologies Floatable Controls Disinfection Type:	Describe other controls currently being us Control Policy have been met under the s 16 of the City's 17 CSO's have been m capture more loading and flow for cor construction of an 800,000 gallon CSO	elected controls nodified to maximize storagiveyance tot he City's POT	ge, minimize overl	lows and				
Post-Construction Complice Check all that apply: In Development Submitted Approved In Progress	nnce Monitoring (PCCM) Program: Describe PCCM findings, status, updates, and describe if the PCCM confirms that LTCP is if A PCCM Program will be developed and the amended consent order to the SPDE	meeting the t objectives of the limplemented by December	e CSO Control Polic	у				
Completed	1							

Not Required

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION B: OUTFALL INFORMATION

<u>List all existing and active CSO the outfalls.</u> Attach extra sheets, if necessary.

Outfall #	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water/Classification	# of Regulators Associated with this Outfall	Type of Regulator(s) Associated with this Outfall (Fixed Dam, Float / Dynamic, Elevated Pipe, Wet Well Overflow, etc.)
001	444218	752919	St. Lawrence River/A	3	2 Side Overflow Weirs, 1 Raised Pipe
002	444212	752933	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Leaping Weir
003	444207	752935	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Side Overflow Weir
04A	444206	752940	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Leaping Weir
04B	444201	752943	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Side Overflow Weir
005	444239	752931	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Leaping Weir
006	444212	752927	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Side Overflow Weir
007	444225	752846	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Leaping Weir
008	444226	752841	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Leaping Weir
10A	444145	752956	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Leaping Weir
011	444117	752936	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Side Overflow Weir
012	444144	752952	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Raised Pipe
013	444144	752953	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Side Overflow Weir
015	444124	752935	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Raised Pipe
016	444145	752945	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Side Overflow Weir
017	444144	752945	Oswegatchie River/B	1	Side Overflow Weir
019	444353	752606	St. Lawrence River/A	1	Side Overflow Weir

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

<u>List all CSO the outfalls that have been closed or separated since LTCP development.</u> Attach extra sheets, if necessary.

Outfall #	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water/Classification	Indicate Reason for Closure
10B	444145	752959	St. Lawrence River/A	Capture additional flow for treatment at the POTW

PERMITTEE NAME: City of Ogdensburg SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029831 PAGE | 1

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION C: CSO EVENTS, DISCHARGE VOLUME, ETC. Provide an estimate or actual data on overflow events. If necessary, use a separate spreadsheet to report all CSO outfalls.

CSO Outfall	No. of overflo		Total Annual Discharge		Total Annual Volu		# of CSO Outfalls Baseline Current		Indicate type of overflow measurements (e.g. metered, estimated, or modeled).
#	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current			<u>If other, please describe.</u>
001	1	73	Not Measured	39,121,111	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Metered
002	9	9	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
003	0	2	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
04A	0	0	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
04B	4	4	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
005	5	2	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
006	5	2	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
007	0	0	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
008	0	0	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
010A	8	4	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
011	5	5	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
012	4	6	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
013	4	2	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
015	6	4	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
016	4	2	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
017	5	6	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection
019	0	1	Not Measured	Not Measurec	Not Measured	Not Measured	1	1	Based on Visual Inspection

y of Ogdensburg SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029831 PAGE | 2

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

CSO Outfall		No. of overflow events in the previous year		CSO Volume ed (MG)	Total Annual Volume Captured or # of CSO Diverted to POTW (MG) Outfalls						Indicate type of overflow measurements (e.g. metered, estimated, or modeled).
#	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	<u>If other, please describe.</u>		
TOTAL											

Permittee Name: City of Ogdensburg SPDES Permit No.: NY-0029831 PAGE | 1

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION D: Collection System Information

	Baseline	After CSO BMP and/or LTCP Implementation	Current
Percentage of the collection system owned by the permittee that is combined.	100	97	97
Approximate no. of miles of combined sewers in the permittee owned system	90	87	87
Number of combined sewer outfalls in the permittee owned system	18	17	17
Average annual no. of CSO events in the permittee owned system	42	<42	51
Average annual CSO volume discharged from the permittee owned system (MG)	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured
Population served by the permittee's owned system	10,937	10,937	10,937
Number of satellite system connections	0	0	0

Use the space below to provide any further relevant information on the collection system. This should include a description of any unique ownership, operation and maintenance agreements or further explanation and description of satellite system connections. (Attach extra sheets, if necessary):

The City of Ogdensburg owns and maintains the entire collection system and the POTW.

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERM	ит No.: NY-	0029831	P A G E 2
	Part II - CSO L his section to describe how the implement and ards of the receiving stream(s) and also				
Please refer to P	art II Section A, and the LTCP dated Ju	uly 2012.			
SECTION G: Use 1	the following space to summarize other plant	anned CSO control projects (at	tach extra she	ets as necessa	ry):
Construction of a	800,000 gallon CSO equalization tank i	is underway, with completion	expected by	July 2022.	
system designed to or persons who mo of my knowledge o	alty of law that this document and all attachmon of assure that qualified personnel properly gathon of anage the system or those persons directly resp and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am a bility of fine and imprisonment for knowing vice	er and evaluate the information so consible for gathering the informa ware that there are significant pe	ubmitted. Based tion, the inform	l on my inquiry o ation submitted	of the person is, to the best
Name:Christian		Official Water Quality Super Title:	visor	Phone: (315	393-2810
Signature:	Christian Foot	Date Signed:01/17/2020	Email:cfout@	danc.org	

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-002	29831
-----------------	--------------------	--------------------------	-------

Check N/A if not required in the permit, consent order, or LTCP:

1. CSO Maintenance/Inspection 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(2) (EPA NMC: Proper Operation and Maintenance)	YES	NO	N/A
Is there a written program for the operation, inspection and maintenance of the CSS?	✓		
Does the program include procedures for ALL outfalls in the permit?	✓		
Does the program include procedures for ALL regulators in the permit?	\		
Are inspections conducted at least as frequently as required in the permit (weekly or monthly)?	\		
Are inspections conducted during dry and wet weather?	✓		
Do the inspection reports indicate visual inspection, any observed flows, incidence of rain or snowmelt, condition of equipment, and any work required?	√		
Are inspection reports submitted to the DEC regional office with the monthly operating reports?	\		
Is the written program sufficiently detailed? Indicate which of the following additional components are included in the plan.	✓		
Pump Stations	✓		
Sewer cleaning	\checkmark		
Sewer Manholes and Catch Basins	✓		
Outfalls	✓		
CSO Controls	✓		
Are there inter-municipal agreements which require inspection and maintenance?		/	
Are any changes planned in the upcoming year for the agreements to make them more effective?			
Is the collection system mapped using GIS?	√		
Entire system, including manholes and catch basins?	✓		
In the past year, was significant mapping progress accomplished?		✓	
In the upcoming year, is GIS mapping planned?		✓	
Is the collection system monitored using a SCADA system?		✓	
In the past year, was significant progress accomplished in installing or expanding monitoring with a SCADA system?			✓
In the upcoming year, is installation of a SCADA system planned or being expanded?	✓		
Does the municipality have an asset management plan that includes the collection system?	\		
Are funds available to carry out the BMP requirements?	✓		
Are any major equipment purchases planned or expected in the next five years related to the BMP requirements? If yes, describe below	✓		
Is the pump inventory, including spare parts, adequate for the upcoming year?	✓		
Is sufficient staff training available?	✓		

Permittee Name: City of Ogdensburg SPDES Permit			No.: NY- 0029831					
	PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PR	ACTICES						
Is funding f	or training adequate and available?							
			١	/ES	NO	N/A		
Is sufficient staff tra	ining available?			✓				
Is funding f	or training adequate and available?			✓				
Have any work effor	rts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows	? If yes, describe below	, [\checkmark			
Fewer even	nts							
Less volume	e							
Reduction i	n floatables, settleable solids or oil and grease discharged							
Reduction i	n industrial pollutants (chemicals)							
Improveme	ent in water quality of receiving waterbody							
In the past year, was	s the inspection and maintenance program mostly:							
	Reactive (responding to problems)				\checkmark			
	Proactive (focusing on preventative maintenance	e to avoid problems)?		/				
If the progr	ram is mostly reactive, describe below any plans to shift the emp	phasis to prevention				\checkmark		
	THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIV	ES OF THE	EPA	NINE			
	program consists of monthly inspections, sewer line cleaning CSO equalization tank is under construction.	ng/jetting and de-grea	asing.					

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029831
-----------------	--------------------	------------------------------

2. Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 750-2.8(a)(2), 750-2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage)	Yes	No	N/A
Are CSOs minimized, and flow to the treatment plant maximized?			
Has the hydraulic capacity of the system been evaluated?			
Is there a continuous program of flushing and cleaning to prevent deposition of solids?	✓		
Have regulators and weirs been adjusted to maximize storage without causing service backups?			
In the past year or the upcoming year, have any changes to structures or procedures been made or planned that will improve use of the collection system for storage? Describe below	✓		
Tidegates maintenance/repairs/replacement			✓
FOG program		✓	
Removal of small systems bottlenecks		\checkmark	
Sewer cleaning and sediment removal	\checkmark		
Removal of flow obstructions	\checkmark		
Regulator or weir adjustment - list locations below		✓	
In-line storage: Inflatable dams or sluice gates		✓	
Wet Weather Operating Plan	✓		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have a water conservation program for homeowners?		\checkmark	
In the upcoming year are there any studies, work, or projects planned (other than routine activities) to improve use of collection system for storage? Describe below.			
Describe below how this BMP implementation has met the requirements of the SPDES permit, and the objectives of Minimum Controls. (Attach extra sheet if necessary) A 800,000 gallon CSO equalization tank is under construction.	гне ЕРА	NINE	

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029831
-----------------	--------------------	------------------------------

TANTIII COO DESTINANAGEMENT NACICES			
3. Industrial Pretreatment 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f) and 2.9(a)(4) (EPA NMC: Review and Modify Pretreatment Requirements) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Has the impact on CSOs from nondomestic users that discharge toxic pollutants been evaluated, and steps taken to minimize such impacts?	✓		
Is there an approved pretreatment or mini-pretreatment program?	✓		
If there is no pretreatment or min-pretreatment program, are there any nondomestic users? If No to both of the previous questions, go to BMP 4			✓
Is there an inventory of industrial dischargers? Is the following information included?	✓		
Volume of discharge?	✓		
Pollutants in discharge?	✓		
Are any pollutants classified as "persistent toxics" or bioaccumulative?		√	
Is the location included on the collection system map?	✓		
Are there any industrial discharges that could reach CSO outfalls?	✓		
If yes, have any industrial dischargers been identified as contributing to a water quality impairment?		>	
If yes, does the industry have a holding tank or EQ tank to store wastewater prior to discharge to the collection system?		\checkmark	
If yes, does the industry have a written plan to store or hold discharges during rain events?		✓	
If yes, has the industry been asked to prepare a written plan to store or hold discharges?		\checkmark	
In the past year, have there been negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers which will potentially reduce impacts during CSO events? Describe below.		√	
In the upcoming year, are any negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers planned which will potentially reduce impacts during CSO events? Describe below.		✓	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	гне ЕРА	NINE	
All significant industrial users within the City's collection system are monitored, inspected and sampled of	on a an	nual ba	asis.

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029831	
-----------------	--------------------	------------------------------	--

4. Maximize Flow to POTW 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 2.8(a)(2), and 2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Flow to POTW for Treatment)	YES	NO	N/A
N/A In the past year, were the headworks, primary treatment works and disinfection works able to pass the flows specified in the permit for all wet weather flows?	√		
In the past year, was the secondary treatment works able to treat the flows specified in the permit for all wet weather flows?		√	
If the answer to either of the above questions was No, has a plan and schedule to accomplish this been submitted to the Department?		√	
In the past year have there been any physical modifications to the collection system which have allowed more flow to reach the POTW? Describe below.		√	
Are any physical modifications planned for the upcoming year?		✓	
Are there areas of the collection system, including pump stations that need additional study to evaluate capacity, condition, or to determine if illegal connections (i.e. inflow) exist? List below		✓	
In the past year, have any new problem areas been identified that restrict flow to the plant? List locations below		✓	
In the upcoming year, are there plans to address hydraulic restrictions or bottlenecks?		\checkmark	
Pipe replacement		✓	
Construction of relief sewer		✓	
Construction of overflow tank	√		
Pump station improvements	√		
Pump replacement	√		
Weir adjustment		√	
Smoke testing, dye testing to identify illicit connections		✓	
Other:		✓	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary) The ongoing Capital Improvement Project at the POTW includes the construction of a 800,000 gallon C tank as well as replacement of all pumps in the POTW.			on

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.:	NY-0029831
-----------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------

PART III - CSO DEST IVIANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
5. Wet Weather Operating Plan (WWOP) 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a) (EPA NMC: None)	□ N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Has a WWOP been developed, specifying procedures for unit operations, to maximize treatment weather events while not diminishing effluent quality or destabilizing treatment upon return operation?	_	✓		
In the past year, did treatment of wet weather flows cause any effluent violations or destab upon return to normal service?	ilize treatment	\checkmark		
Has the WWOP been developed in accordance with the DEC guidance, "Wet Weather Opera POTWs with Combined Sewers"? If no, describe changes needed.	ating Practices for	\checkmark		
Has the WWOP been submitted to the Regional Office and Bureau of Water Permits (Albany approval?	/) for review and	√		
If the collection system or plant has been modified or upgraded, has the WWOP been modified flow rates or new procedures?	fied to reflect new		\checkmark	
If yes, has the revised plan been submitted to the Regional Office for approval?				\checkmark
Does the plan identify the maximum flows through preliminary, primary, secondary treatmed disinfection units?	ent, tertiary, and	\checkmark		
In the upcoming year, are changes to the plan expected?		✓		
MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary) The WWOP will be updated in conjunction with the ongoing Capital Improvement Pro	ject.			

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029831
-----------------	--------------------	------------------------------

6. Prohibition of Dry Weather Overflows 6 NYCRR 750-2.7 and 2.8(b)(2) (EPA NMC: Eliminate Dry Weather Overflows) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were there any dry weather overflows? If no, skip to BMP 7.		√	
Were all dry weather overflows reported in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 750-2.7 (incident reporting)?			
If dry weather overflows occurred, indicate which procedures or equipment have been improved or replaced			
Schedule for routine inspections			
Management, operation and maintenance program			
Modification of existing or issuance of new inter-municipal agreements			
FOG program			
Removal of illicit connections			
I/I Control program			
Leaky tidegates			
Adjustment and/or repair of regulators			
Pumps			
Auxiliary power			
Elimination of hydraulic bottlenecks			
Adequate dry weather flow capacity at the treatment plant			
Other, list below			
Has additional staff training been provided?			
Has the likelihood of future dry weather overflows been eliminated? If not, describe additional information below.			
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	ТНЕ ЕРА	NINE	

Permittee Name: City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT No.: I	^{NY-} 00298	221	
PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT P		00290	001	
7. Control of Floatables and Settleable Solids 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(4)	_	VEC	NO	21/2
(EPA NMC: Control of Solid and Floatable Materials in CSOs)	□ N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were did any outfalls discharge floating solids, oil and grease, or s	solids of sewage origin?	✓		
Have BMPs been implemented to eliminate or minimize the discharge of floatable	es and settleable solids?		✓	
Have any of the following measures been implemented (either existing from previor will any be implemented in the upcoming year? If significant progress has been these, or if significant improvements have occurred, describe below.)		
Floatables quantification			✓	
Booming and skimming of open waters			✓	
Source controls (street cleaning, public education, household hazardous collection, recycling, and/or composting of lawn/leaf/roadkill deer)	waste collection, solid wast	te 🗸		
In-line netting			\checkmark	
Screens			✓	
Catch basin hoods			√	
Other:			✓	
Are any changes needed or planned for the upcoming year? Describe additional in	nformation below.	√		
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	S PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES	OF THE EPA	NINE	
Maximizing the use of the collection system resulted in more floatable and so POTW for treatment.	ettable solid material bei	ng convey	ed to th	ne

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.:	NY-0029831	

8. Combined Sewer System Replacement 6 NYCRR 750-2.10(i) (EPA NMC: None) N/A YES	ES	NO	N/A
	\neg		
In the past year, were any combined sewers designed or constructed that were not approved by DEC?		\checkmark	
If yes, was the combined sewer replaced by separate sanitary and storm sewers to the greatest extent possible?			✓
If yes, were the separate sanitary and storm sewers designed and constructed simultaneously but without interconnections to the maximum extent practicable?			✓
Is the combined portion of the collection system completely identified on maps or GIS?		\checkmark	
Are there any plans or current projects to separate combined sewers into sanitary and storm sewers?		\checkmark	
Is there an approved engineering plan for this project?		\checkmark	
In the past year, how many areas of combined sewer were separated?			
In the upcoming year, how many areas of combined sewer are scheduled to be separated? acres			
Are the sewer replacement projects on schedule? If no, describe below.			✓
Overall, has the implementation of this BMP resulted in fewer overflow events and/or less volume discharged? Describe below.	/		
The Paterson Street sewer separation project resulted in the reduction of 13 acres of combined sewers.			

PERMITTEE NAME: SPDES PERMIT NO.: N	Y-00298	31		
PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES				
9. Combined Sewer Extension 6 NYCRR 750-2.10(i) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A	
In the past year, were any combined sewers extended not using separate sewers?		\checkmark		
Were sanitary and storm sewers extensions designed and constructed simultaneously but without interconnections?		✓		_
Were any new sources of stormwater added to a separate sewer anywhere in the collection system?				
If separate sewers were extended from combined sewers, was it demonstrated that the sewerage system had the ability to convey, and the treatment plant had the ability to adequately treat, the increased dry-weather flows?			√	
If determined necessary by the Regional Water Engineer, was an assessment made of the effects of the increased flow of sanitary sewage or industrial waste on the strength of CSOs and their frequency of occurrence, including the impacts upon best usage of the receiving water?			√	
Has a recent combined sewer extension resulted in increased discharge from a CSO?			✓	
Has a recent combined sewer extension resulted in increased flow to the POTW? Describe any CSO impacts below.			✓	
Is any development planned upstream of a combined sewer?	1			
If yes, has a sewer extension plan been submitted for review and approval?				
If the approval contained a flow credit requiring removal of I/I, what was the requirement or ratio?			√	_
Does the plan include any flow retention structures?			√	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	OF THE EPA	NINE		_
There is development planned upstream of a combined sewer, associated with development of the proof Ogdensburg Bridge and Port Authority. There will be no combined system allowed for this development of the proof		wned	by the	

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT No.:	NY- 0029	831	
	PART III - CSO BEST MANAGE	MENT PRACTICES			
10. Connection	Prohibitions 6 NYCRR750-2.9(a)(5) (EPA NMC: None	e) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
connections due to d	e any sewer connections approved, in spite of a notice documented, recurrent instances of sewage backing u und surface from surcharging manholes?		w	✓	
Are new connections	s prohibited by the DEC? If no, skip to BMP 11.			√	
Is this due to	o basement backups?				
	o surcharging manholes?				
In the upcoming year below.	r, is any work planned to either increase capacity or re	educe hydraulic loading? Describe	;		

Permittee Name:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.:	NY- 0029831	

		1	т
11. Septage and Hauled Waste 6 NYCRR750-2.7(f) and 2.8(a)(1) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, has there been any discharge or release of septage or hauled waste into the collection system upstream of a CSO?		\checkmark	
Does the facility have authorization from DEC to accept hauled waste or septage at a location other than the POTW? Describe below.		✓	
Are any of these locations upstream of a CSO?			
Are there any agreements with haulers to accept waste at a location other than at the POTW?		✓	
In the past year, was any hauled waste or septage accepted at a location other than at the POTW?		√	
What was the total volume received at locations other than the POTW?			\checkmark
Is there a dedicated location to discharge septage at the POTW?	✓		
Are there restrictions on when the plant accepts hauled waste or septage?	1		
Have there been any changes to the POTW's policy on septage and hauled waste in the past year? Are any changes needed or planned in the upcoming year?			
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	тне ЕРА	NINE	
The receipt of any hauled waste can only occur at a dedicated location at the POTW and is under contriboth time and flow restrictions.			

		_	0023031	-
PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.:	^{NY-} 0029831	

12. Control of Run-off 6 NYCRR750- 2.1(e) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Is sediment in runoff from construction zones entering catch basins in the combined sewer system?		✓	
Is there adequate communication between the local municipal department that enforces local stormwater codes and ordinances and the collection system staff regarding stormwater runoff?	\checkmark		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have adequate storm water pollution prevention programs to reduce pollutants in stormwater?	✓		
Annual household hazardous waste collection	✓		
Autumn leaf collection	\checkmark		
Lawn clippings	✓		
Christmas tree pickup	✓		
Roadkill deer composting	\		
Fertilizer and pesticide management		✓	
Enforcement of litter laws	✓		
Public education programs on composting		✓	
Are any changes needed in the implementation of this BMP to reduce the number of CSO events, the volume discharged, or pollutants in the discharge? If yes, describe below.		√	
MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary) The above listed controls appear adequate for runoff control.			

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.:	NY-0029831
-----------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------

13. Public Notification 6 NYCRR 750-1.12 (EPA NMC: Public Notification) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Have identification signs been installed and maintained at all CSO outfalls owned and operated by the permittee?	✓		
Are all signs placed at or near the outfall?	√		
Are the signs easily readable by the public?	√		
Are the signs a minimum size of 18" by 24"?	√		
Do the signs have white letters on a green background?	√		
Do all the signs contain the following information:	✓		
SPDES permit number	✓		
Outfall number	\checkmark		
Permittee name, contact name and phone number at business office or NYSDEC Division of Water regional contact address and phone number	✓		
For waters that are Class B or higher, is a public notification program implemented to inform citizens of the location and occurrence of CSO events?	✓		
Does this program include a mechanism (public media broadcast, standing beach advisories, newspaper notice, etc) to alert potential users of the receiving waters affected by CSOs?	✓		
Does this program include a system to determine the nature and duration of conditions that are potentially harmful to users of these receiving waters due to CSOs?	✓		
Were there any problems in the past year with missing or damaged signs? Describe below.		√	
Is there a written public notification plan?	✓		
Does the plan list all methods used to notify the public of CSO events?	✓		
Does the plan list outfalls where signs are posted?	√		
Describe below how this BMP implementation has met the requirements of the SPDES permit, and the objective Minimum Controls. (Attach extra sheet if necessary) CSO events are reported through the NY Alert system.	S OF THE EP	PA NINE	

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029831	
-----------------	--------------------	------------------------------	--

14. Characterization and Monitoring (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a), 2.5(a) and 2.7(g)) (EPA NMC: Monitoring)	YES	NO	N/A
If required in the permit, has the combined sewer system been characterized to determine the frequency of overflows, and identify CSO impacts?	✓		
Was a baseline sampling program established as part of the LTCP development?	✓		
Are all outfalls monitored during discharge events for:	\checkmark		
Flow Volume:		✓	
Frequency:	✓		
Duration:		\checkmark	
If all outfalls are not monitored, explain how sufficient data is obtained to document the success of the BMPs.			\checkmark
List locations of rain gauges or the source of data, below.			✓
Has a Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan been submitted to the Department for review and approval?		\checkmark	
Has the Department approved the Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan?			✓
Has post construction monitoring and modeling of the receiving water begun? Attach results if this has not already been provided.		√	
MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary) The LTCP lists completed baseline sampling and monitoring. A post construction monitoring plan will be completed at the conclusion of the ongoing capital improvem	ent pro	ject.	

PERMITTEE NAME:	City of Ogdensburg		SPDES PERMIT NO.:	NY-0029	9831	
	PA	RT III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRAC	CTICES			
15. Annual rep	ort 6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i)	N/A (EPA NMC: None; Required in	n LTCP permit)	YES	NO	N/A
Is this report being u	ised to satisfy BMP 15, Ar	nual report, and the BMP checklist?		✓		
Is existing document	cation of implementation	of the BMPs included?		√		
Is this annual report (Albany)?	submitted by January 31	to the Regional Office and the Bureau o	f Water Permits	✓		
Attach any additional list plans for the upo	•	o document the implementation of BM	Ps in the past year or			✓
Overall, was implem	entation of the BMPs effe	ctive in controlling and minimizing CSO	discharges?	✓		
If no, list any improv	ements needed that have	not been described elsewhere				✓

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:
DESCRIBE BELOW IN DETAIL OTHER "MEASURE OF SUCCESS" ABOVE AND BEYOND THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT. DESCRIBE HOW ADDITIONAL PROJECT(S) HAS HELPED TO MEET THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS POLICY. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)
The City is currently constructing a 800,000 gallon CSO equalization tank, with completion anticipated by July 2022.

City of Ogdensburg

PERMITTEE NAME:

SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029831

PERMITTEE NAME:	SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-	
	PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE	s
SECTION D: For Multiple Permittees Only		
Permittee Name	SPDES Permit Name	SPDES Permit No

SECTION E: GLOSSARY/ACCRONYMS

For the purposes of this annual report, the following terms and acronyms are described below:

Baseline: Conditions before the development and/or implementation of CSO BMPs and/or LTCP.

Best Management Practice (BMP): Permit condition used in place of or in conjunction with effluent limitations to prevent or control the discharge of pollutants. May include schedule of activities, prohibition of practices, maintenance procedure, or other management practice. BMPs may include, but are not limited to, treatment requirements, operating procedures, or practices to control plant site runoff, spillage, leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Bypass: A discharge of wastewater, stormwater, or combination of both, around a treatment unit designed for the removal of pollutants.

Catch Basin: A chamber usually built at the curbline of a street, which admits surface water for discharge into a storm drain

Collection System: A wastewater collection system which conveys sanitary wastewaters (domestic, commercial and industrial wastewaters) and stormwater through a single pipe to a publicly owned treatment works for treatment prior to discharge to surface waters.

Combined Sewer: A sewer designed to carry wastewater and stormwater runoff.

Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO): A discharge of untreated wastewater from a combined sewer system at a point prior to the headworks of a publicly owned treatment works. CSOs generally occur during wet weather (rainfall or snowmelt). During periods of wet weather, these systems become overloaded, bypass treatment works, and discharge directly to receiving waters.

Combined Sewer System (CSS): A wastewater collection system that conveys sanitary wastewaters and storm water through a single pipe to a publicly owned treatment works for treatment prior to discharge to surface waters.

Demonstrative Regulatory Approach: Control approach where a permittee develops and implement an LTCP that meets the state water quality standards. A permittee could develop an LTCP that would provide for attainment of water quality standards, or it could use a total maximum daily load (TMDL) to *demonstrate* that water quality standards can be attained through a combination of CSO controls and other controls.

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

EQ Tank: Equalization Tank often used to smooth hydraulic peaks to a POTW or WWTP.

Fats Oil & Grease (FOG)

Geographic Information System (GIS) is a computer-based tool for mapping and analyzing features in the environment. GIS support a wide range of activities including water quality modeling, watershed planning, and wetlands permitting and mitigation.

GI: Green" Infrastructure

Infiltration/Inflow (I/I): Rainwater, snowmelt, or groundwater flowing into separate sanitary or combined sewers, typically introduced via connected roof downspouts and/or building footing drains or infiltrating into the pipe through cracks in the pipe walls or joints.

This Period: Period covering the last 12 months from January to December

Last Period: Activities covering the 12 calendar months prior to the end of the current period

Permittee Name: City of Ogdensburg SPDES Permit No.: NY- 0029831

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Long Term Control Plan (LTCP): An engineering document that characterizes and assesses CSO discharge to a receiving waterbody. The goal of the Plan is to comply with the water quality standards of the receiving waterbody.

Million Gallons per Day (MGD) is a unit of flow commonly used for wastewater discharges. One mgd is equivalent to 1.547 cubic feet per second.

Multiple Permittees here is described as when a group of permittees (e.g. Albany Pool) is responsible to develop a single LTCP or when a single LTCP is required for multiple SPDES permit under a single permittee (e.g. NYC).

Nine Minimum Controls (NMC) provide information on nine minimum technology-based controls that permittees are expected to use to address CSO problems, without extensive engineering studies or significant construction costs, before long-term measures are taken.

NYSDEC: New State Department of Environmental Conservation (interchangeably uses as DEC)

Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW): Also commonly referred to as "treatment facility, WWTP (Wastewater Treatment Plant)

SPDES Permit: State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit. A permit issued by DEC, authorized under the federal Clean Water Act, to discharge treated wastewater to waters of the United States.

Overflow Events: An event starts once an overflow starts from an outfall, and ends once the overflow stops and the pumpback to treatment facility have ended.

Presumptive Approach: The presumption approach is based on the assumption that an LTCP that meets certain minimum defined performance criteria. The "presumption approach," under which achievement of certain performance criteria (i.e., 4-6 untreated overflow events or 85 percent by volume capture) would be presumed to provide an adequate level of control to attain water quality standards

Raw Sewage: Untreated sanitary sewage.

Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) is an untreated or partially treated sewage discharge from the sanitary sewer collection system.

Separate Sewer (SS): A pipe or conduit intended to convey only sanitary sewage to a wastewater treatment facility.

SPDES: State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

Sewer System: A public or privately owned wastewater collection facility designed and used to convey or treat sanitary sewage or sanitary sewage and storm water. Sewer system does not include an on-site wastewater treatment system serving one residential unit or duplex.

Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) is a complex computer system that provides automatic control of stormwater storage and overflows at various locations within the sewer system.

Volume Discharged: Total discharge volume for the event (in millions of gallons) from each CSO outfall within this reporting period.

Volume Captured: Total discharge volume for the event (in millions of gallons) that were either captured via an offline treatment facility before discharge or diverted to the WWTP for treatment.

WWOP: Wet Weather Operating Plan

Water Quality Standards (WQS) are regulations that establish the uses for which surface waters of the state are protected and include numeric and narrative criteria to protect those uses.